

## Corrigé complet -mini-synthèse - Women in the Workplace

<p>N'oubliez pas qu'il faut un <b>lien formel</b> entre le sujet et le corpus (OBLIGATOIRE) (c'est le point méthodologique le plus souvent oublié par les élèves)</p> <p>Surligné <b>en fuchsia</b>, les verbes ou PP de présentation (ils ne sont pas interchangeables, donc réfléchissez)</p> <p>The best value for money: meilleur rapport qualité-prix</p> <p>To gain ground: gagner du terrain (≠ to lose ground/to fall behind: perdre du terrain)</p> <p>In that respect: à cet égard</p> <p>Notwithstanding: malgré (plus relevé que despite) Entrenched : deeply-rooted (profondément ancrées)</p> <p>Méthodo: si, <b>et seulement si</b>, vous remarquez qq chose sur le corpus lui-même, c'est le moment de le dire. Ici, qu'ils sont tous volontaristes (pro-active), mais ce pourrait être, avec un tout autre corpus qu'ils s'opposent frontalement (« poles apart ») ou au contraire qu'ils sont tous d'accord « they agree ». En revanche, ne plaquez pas de formule toute faite ; <b>RÉFLÉCHISSEZ !</b></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>How to redress gender inequalities in the workplace</b></p> <p>From parity laws to landmark appointments to top jobs, the position of women in the workplace is improving. However, there remain some matters of concern <b>this set of documents addresses</b>. It is composed of a <i>Guardian</i> editorial and a tweet by the Mayor of London both published in 2017, an undated awareness campaign poster issued by a New York law firm (<i>womensrightsny.com</i>) specialized in women's rights and an article published in <i>The Atlantic</i>, reviewing the year 2015 for women. This presentation will describe the dark sides of the current situation, as well as some reasons for hope. <b>/(alternative thesis statement)</b> In spite of ongoing problems besetting women in the workplace, there are signs that redressing the remaining inequalities is possible.</p> <p>In the workplace, women still have to cope with many difficulties. First, there is the gender pay gap. The <i>Guardian</i> article <b>points out</b> that, on the whole, they are paid around 20% less. Moreover, equal pay for equal work simply doesn't exist as women earn less. The campaign poster <b>chimes in, ironically</b>, by supposedly praising women for being "Like men, just cheaper", like any commercial good that would be best value for money! <b>Secondly</b>, /Another issue is education and professional opportunities. Indeed, study as they may, women are less likely to climb the career ladder, the <i>Guardian</i> editorial <b>argues</b>; In the STEM sector, there is the notorious leaky pipeline but even in female-dominated sectors like care, women fail to make it to the top. This may be due to the working environment that is not necessarily woman-friendly. Not only pregnancy but maternity itself are obstacles (documents 1 and 3).</p> <p>But there is some glimmers of hope. Now, charges of unfair treatment are often successfully pressed, as <b>is advertised</b> in document 2 and <b>argued</b> in <i>The Atlantic</i>. Regarding the question of representation, the number of women in positions of responsibility is definitely rising. Partly due to parity laws, appointments of women to companies' boards (<b>mentioned</b> in both documents 1 and 3) and governments are gaining ground. And women in charge, the editorial <b>insists</b>, will end up improving the situation of women at the bottom of the ladder as well. In that respect, it is worth noticing that male politicians should satisfy themselves with such advances, whether it be Justin Trudeau and his parity cabinet (document 3) or the Mayor of London with the appointment of the first female police commissioner <b>celebrated</b> in the tweet.</p> <p>Notwithstanding some entrenched inequalities, all four documents, with their pro-active stance, suggest, in various ways, that our society is on the right track and that we should keep up the good work.</p>	<p>Idée d'accroche forcément puisée dans le corpus</p> <p>Ici, je propose deux présentations de plan, la première plus classique avec pour sujet « this presentation » et la seconde sous forme de thesis statement (phrase complexe qui articule logiquement la thèse)</p> <p>Chime in : va dans le même sens (souvenez-vous : « agree » en revanche n')</p> <p>Notorious : de triste réputation</p> <p>Regarding : pour ce qui concerne</p> <p>To end up Ving : finir par</p> <p>Advance: progrès</p> <p>NB : Celebrated: en utilisant "celebrated" plutôt que simplement « mentioned » ou « referred to », j'exprime DEUX idées et non une seule</p> <p>To be on the right track: être sur la bonne voie (bonne expression)</p> <p>To keep up the good work (poursuivre dans ce sens)</p>
--	---	--

